

An example of case study

In the incident, Chit Myo Oo and Thet Naing Soe, who were informed as suspicious reports by a bank, changed the registration numbers of Htun Thet Wai and opened (3) bank accounts at the said bank (Branch 0000), and Swan Ye Htet changed his registration number and opened (1) account. Since these accounts were transferred by Chit Myo Oo, Thet Naing Soe and Swan Ye Htet, the bank investigated them and informed them as suspicious reports to the Financial Intelligence Unit.

The real name of the person who opened accounts in the above bank under the name of Htun Thet Wai with National Identification Card No: 8/YaSaKa(N)xxxxxx, 8/YaSaKa(N)xxxxxx, 8/YaSaKa(N)xxxxxx is Chit Myo Oo, 8/MaMaNa(N)xxxxxx and the person who opened accounts in the above bank with his National Identification Card No.12/ThaGaKa(N)xxxxxx.

Of the (3) citizenship verification cards used in the name of Htun Thet Wai, 8/YaSaKa(N)xxxxxx are the identifications of Chan Pyae Kyaw (Father) U xxx, 8/YaSaKa(N)xxxxxx are the identification of Hein Min Khant (Father) U xx and 8/YaSaKa(N)xxxxxx are the identification of Moe Moe Kyaw (Father) U xx, which are not authenticated.

Of the (2) citizenship verification cards used in the name of Swan Ye Htet, 12/ThaGaKa(N)xxxxxx is the holder of identification number of Swan Ye Htet, (Father) U xxx, Date of Birth: 10-05-2005 which is correct. The remaining citizenship verification card number: 12/ThaGaKa(N)xxxxxx is a number that exceeds the highest number used by the Thingangyun Township Court, and is therefore incorrect.

Conclusion

- It is observed that multiple accounts were opened using false or unauthenticated identity documents and that causes fraud cases.
- To prevent such cases, customers are advised to safeguard their personal identification details and promptly report any suspected misuse to the relevant authorities.